

# A Guide to UKCA and MCS Certification for Solar Module Manufacturers

A Regulatory & Market Entry Guide — United Kingdom

**Content Partner: J. v. G. technology GmbH**

*Turnkey solar module production lines — since 1997*

[www.jvg-thoma.com](http://www.jvg-thoma.com)





# Technical Overview: UKCA and MCS Certification for Solar Manufacturers



Created as part of the PVKnowHow Knowledge Network



Prepared by J.v.G. Technology GmbH



European specialists in turnkey solar module production lines

# Key Project Data

**6–9 mo**

## Certification Timeline

Typical end-to-end duration  
for UKCA + MCS

**2**

## Core Standards

IEC 61215 (performance) +  
IEC 61730 (safety)

**MCS 0...**


## Product Standard

Governing solar PV module  
certification under MCS

**FPC**

## Factory Requirement

Full Factory Production  
Control audit mandatory

 Topic: UK Solar Module Certification (UKCA + MCS) · Market: United Kingdom · Source: PVKnowHow / J.v.G. Technology GmbH

# UK Solar Market Opportunity

## Market Scale

- Installed capacity surpassed **20 GW** in 2025
- Government target: **45 GW by 2030, 70 GW by 2035**
- ~5 GW of new capacity required annually to meet target
- 2025 additions tracking ~2.5 GW — gap remains significant

## Market Entry Rationale

- High demand for locally certified modules
- MCS certification unlocks Smart Export Guarantee (SEG) eligibility
- UKCA mark: legal requirement for Great Britain market access
- Regulatory compliance = commercial viability

# Regulatory Framework: UKCA vs. MCS

## UKCA Mark — Legal Requirement

- UK Conformity Assessed — introduced post-Brexit
- Replaces the CE mark for Great Britain (England, Scotland, Wales)
- Applies to most products previously requiring CE marking
- Mandatory for legal sale in the UK market
- Issued by a UK-approved conformity assessment body

## MCS Certification — Commercial Requirement

- Microgeneration Certification Scheme — established 2007
- UK quality assurance framework for low-carbon technology products
- Not legally mandatory — but commercially essential
- Prerequisite for SEG tariff eligibility and installer scheme access
- Covers both products (MCS 005) and installers

## Relationship Between the Two

- Both are required to operate credibly in the UK market
- UKCA = market access; MCS = commercial viability
- MCS product listing requires prior IEC 61215 / IEC 61730 test evidence
- CE mark currently still accepted alongside UKCA in Great Britain

# UKCA Certification Process

- 1 — Identify Applicable Standards**

Confirm which designated UK standards apply to the module type  
Core standards: IEC 61215 (performance) + IEC 61730 (safety)
- 2 — Engage a UK Approved Body**

Select a conformity assessment body approved by UKAS  
Body must be designated for the relevant product category
- 3 — Laboratory Testing**

Submit module samples to an accredited test laboratory  
IEC 61215: performance and durability · IEC 61730: electrical safety, fire resistance, mechanical integrity
- 4 — Technical File & Declaration**

Compile technical documentation confirming standard compliance  
Issue UK Declaration of Conformity; affix UKCA mark to product
- 5 — Ongoing Surveillance**

Maintain compliance if module design or BOM changes significantly  
Material changes (e.g. backsheet, encapsulant) may require re-testing

# Core Technical Standards

## IEC 61215 — Performance & Durability

- Tests whether a module will perform reliably over its expected 25+ year life
- Minimum 19 tests simulating years of environmental stress
- Includes: damp heat (1,000 hrs at 85°C/85% RH), thermal cycling, UV exposure, mechanical load
- Applies to crystalline silicon and thin-film module types
- Certification is type approval — applies to a specific design and Bill of Materials

## IEC 61730 — Safety

- Assesses module safety for installers, users, and the public
- Covers: electrical shock hazard, fire resistance, mechanical strength, material suitability
- Verifies insulation adequacy and that no live parts are accessible
- Assesses flammability and fire spread risk
- 2023 edition includes updated fire testing ( $\geq 815^{\circ}\text{C}$  flame temperature) and new component requirements

## Why Both Standards Are Required Together

- IEC 61215 alone confirms performance — not safety
- IEC 61730 alone confirms safety — not durability
- All commercial markets (UK, EU, Australia) treat them as a mandatory pair
- Financial institutions require both for project bankability

# MCS Certification Process (MCS 005)

1

## 1 – Familiarise with MCS Standards

Review MCS 005 (Solar PV Product Standard), MCS 010 (Factory Production Control), MCS 011 (Acceptance Criteria)

2

## 2 – Select an MCS Certification Body

Appoint an accredited MCS certification body (e.g. BBA or equivalent)

Certification body manages the full assessment process

3

## 3 – Product Audit

Review of technical data files and end-of-line test records

Provides IEC test evidence from an accredited laboratory

4

## 4 – Factory Production Control (FPC) Audit

On-site factory audit: raw material intake → production → final QC

Confirms consistent, repeatable manufacturing to MCS standards

5

## 5 – Certification Decision & Listing

Non-conformities must be resolved within 45 days of audit

Certified products added to MCS Product Directory – visible to UK installers

# Factory Requirements: FPC Audit

## What the FPC Audit Covers

- Full production process: raw material receiving → finished module QC
- Staff competency, documented procedures, calibrated test equipment
- End-of-line test records — consistent with approved quality plan
- Root cause analysis processes for non-conforming product
- Ongoing surveillance: periodic re-audits required to maintain certification

## Key Operational Implications

- A single "golden sample" is insufficient — every production module must comply
- Documented Quality Management System (QMS) is essential
- ISO 9001 certification can streamline the FPC assessment process
- BOM changes (e.g. new backsheet or encapsulant brand) require re-evaluation
- FPC is not a one-time event — it requires sustained operational discipline

# Timeline & Cost Implications

1

## Phase 1: Preparation (Weeks 1–8)

- QMS development, component pre-qualification, lab sample preparation
- Selection of UK Approved Body and MCS Certification Body
- Early engagement with labs prevents costly downstream delays

2

## Phase 2: Testing (Months 2–5)

- IEC 61215 + IEC 61730 laboratory testing – allow 3–5 months
- Shipping, sample preparation, and test scheduling all add lead time
- UKCA technical file compiled in parallel

3

## Phase 3: MCS Audit & Certification (Months 5–9)

- Product audit + FPC factory audit scheduled with certification body
- Non-conformities resolved (45-day window)
- Total end-to-end: typically **6–9 months** from start to MCS listing

**i** **Cost components:** Laboratory testing fees · UK Approved Body assessment fees · MCS Certification Body fees · Factory audit costs (including auditor travel). Together these represent a substantial initial investment that should be planned as part of the business case.

# Common Challenges

## Underestimating the Timeline

- 6–9 months is a minimum under well-prepared conditions
- Lab scheduling backlogs and shipping delays extend actual timelines
- Certification must be planned in parallel with factory setup — not after

## Bill of Materials (BOM) Instability

- Certification is type-specific: tied to a defined BOM and production line
- Switching suppliers for backsheet, EVA, or junction box triggers re-testing
- Pre-certifying components from reputable suppliers reduces downstream risk

## Factory Readiness Gaps

- FPC audit failures are a common cause of certification delay
- Undocumented procedures, uncalibrated instruments, and missing test records are typical findings
- Internal mock audits before the formal FPC inspection are strongly advisable

# Strategic Recommendations

1

## Integrate Early

Begin certification planning at factory design stage — not at commissioning. Every week of delay costs market entry time.

2

## Lock the BOM

Stabilise component selection before testing. Use pre-certified, high-quality components from established suppliers to minimise re-testing risk.

3

## Build FPC Discipline

Treat Factory Production Control as an operational standard — not a one-time audit event. Consistent quality at scale is the strategic differentiator.

- ❏ An experienced European turnkey provider integrates certification requirements into full-line process methodology from day one — reducing the learning curve for manufacturers entering the UK market.

# FAQ Highlights

## Do I need both UKCA and MCS?

UKCA is the legal minimum for market access. MCS is required for SEG tariff eligibility and commercial credibility. In practice, both are necessary for a viable UK market position.

## Can I use existing CE / IEC certifications?

CE mark is currently still accepted in Great Britain alongside UKCA. Existing IEC test reports can be used as evidence for MCS product audit — but a new FPC factory audit is always required.

## What triggers re-certification?

Any significant BOM change — new backsheet brand, different encapsulant, revised junction box — is considered a modification requiring re-evaluation and potentially full or partial re-testing.

## How long does MCS product listing take after audit?

Once non-conformities are resolved (within the 45-day window), the certification body awards the certificate and adds the product to the public MCS Product Directory.

# Conclusion: UK Market Entry Strategy

## Regulatory Clarity

UKCA + MCS form a dual framework. Both are effectively required for a credible and commercially viable UK market position.

## Market Opportunity

UK targets 70 GW by 2035. Annual additions of ~5 GW needed. Certified domestic production capacity has a structural advantage.

## Execution Principle

Certification is not a final step – it must be embedded from factory design through to sustained production quality control.

# About the Content Partner

## **J. v. G. technology GmbH** – The DESERT Company

Founded in 1997 in Bavaria, Germany. Family-owned engineering company specializing in turnkey solar module production lines.

More than 90 factory projects delivered worldwide.

On-site team training included – no prior manufacturing experience required.

### Key areas:

Turnkey PV manufacturing lines | DESERT Technology® |  
TÜV-certified module designs | Factory planning to production

[www.jvg-thoma.com](http://www.jvg-thoma.com)

# Contact

J.v.G. Technology GmbH

Möningerberg 1a, 92342 Freystadt, Germany

[info@jvg-thoma.de](mailto:info@jvg-thoma.de) | [www.jvg-thoma.com](http://www.jvg-thoma.com)

Source: <https://www.pvknowhow.com/countries/united-kingdom/ukca-mcs-certification-solar-modules/>

---

*Created with the support of JvGLabs — specialist for AI systems*

*and AI-driven visibility. [www.jvglabs.com](http://www.jvglabs.com)*