

# Financial Modeling for a 20-50 MW Solar Factory in Uganda: A Cost Breakdown

A Strategic Investment Analysis — 20-50 MW Scale

**Content Partner: J. v. G. technology GmbH**

*Turnkey solar module production lines — since 1997*

[www.jvg-thoma.com](http://www.jvg-thoma.com)





# Technical Overview: Financial Modeling for 20-50 MW Solar Factories in Uganda



Created as part of the PVKnowHow Knowledge Network



Prepared by J.v.G. Technology GmbH



European specialists in turnkey solar module production lines

# Uganda: Energy Access Gap

## Current Energy Situation

- ~20% of population connected to national grid (IEA, 2022)
- A further ~10% served by solar home systems
- 73% of population lives in rural areas — largely underserved
- Grid coverage: ~60% urban vs. ~18% rural
- Hydropower dominates: ~80% of generating capacity

## Why This Creates Opportunity

- Government target: universal electricity access by 2040
- Solar demand growing rapidly — off-grid and grid-tied
- ~6.6 hours average peak sun per day — strong irradiation
- All modules currently imported — no local manufacturing base
- SDG 7 compliance requires accelerated, scalable solutions

 Source: IEA Uganda 2023 · PVKnowHow Uganda Solar Report · GOGLA Country Brief 2024

# The Strategic Case for Local Manufacturing

## Import Substitution

- Uganda currently imports 100% of solar modules
- Local production reduces forex exposure and supply chain risk
- Tariff and logistics cost savings improve module competitiveness

## Economic Development

- Turnkey lines include full on-site team training
- No prior manufacturing experience required
- Creates skilled industrial employment at scale

## Market Timing

- Rising domestic solar deployment creates captive demand
- Regional export potential across East Africa
- Early-mover advantage in an underdeveloped segment

# Key Project Data

**20–50 ...**

## Target Scale

Annual production capacity  
per line configuration

**~\$3.3M**

## CAPEX (25 MW Example)

Turnkey semi-automated  
production line investment

**9–12 mo**


## Ramp-Up Period

From equipment delivery to  
full-scale production

**Semi-...**

## Line Type

Semi-automated — optimal  
balance of cost and output  
at this scale

 Region: Uganda · Concept: Proven European turnkey manufacturing · Source: PVKnowHow / J.v.G. Technology GmbH

# CAPEX vs. OPEX: Understanding the Cost Structure

## CAPEX — Capital Expenditure

- One-time upfront investment in production line and facility
- Includes equipment, installation, commissioning, training
- 25 MW example: ~\$3.3M total turnkey CAPEX
- Amortized over production lifetime (typically 10–15 years)
- Financed via equity, development finance, or blended structures

## OPEX — Operational Expenditure

- Recurring monthly costs to run the manufacturing facility
- Key items: raw materials (cells, glass, EVA, backsheet, frames)
- Labor, electricity, facility rent, consumables, maintenance
- OPEX per watt decreases as volume and utilization increase
- Local sourcing of auxiliary materials reduces OPEX over time

- The economic case for local manufacturing rests on achieving competitive OPEX per watt — enabled by lower labor costs and import substitution — relative to the landed cost of imported modules.

# Investment Breakdown – 25 MW Example

## Production Line Equipment

- Semi-automated turnkey line (stringer, laminator, framing, testing)
- Largest single component of total CAPEX
- Sourced from experienced European provider; includes commissioning

## Facility & Infrastructure

- Factory shell: ~1,000–2,000 m<sup>2</sup> required for 25 MW line
- Power connection, HVAC, clean-room preparation
- Industrial rent in Uganda: ~\$1,000–\$2,000/month for this scale

## Working Capital & Ramp-Up

- Initial raw material inventory (cells, encapsulants, glass)
- Operational buffer during 9–12 month ramp-up phase
- Training costs included in turnkey concept – no additional charge



## Total Indicative CAPEX (25 MW)

~\$3.3M – all-in turnkey

---

**Line Type:** Semi-automated

**Target Output:** 25 MW/year at full utilization

**Provider:** Proven European turnkey concept

**Training:** Included on-site



Figures represent a composite scenario for analytical purposes. Final costs depend on site conditions, utility tariffs, and equipment configuration.

# Operational Cost Structure

Cost Category	Key Driver	Uganda Context
Raw Materials (cells, glass, EVA, backsheet)	Module BOM – largest OPEX item	Imported; pricing follows global market
Aluminium Frames & Junction Boxes	Module assembly components	Partially sourceable regionally
Labor	Operator headcount per shift	Cost-competitive vs. European/Asian benchmarks
Electricity	Laminator, stringer, testing equipment	\$0.067–\$0.21/kWh (ERA tariff schedule)
Facility Rent	Factory floor space	~\$1,000–\$2,000/month (500–1,000 m <sup>2</sup> )
Maintenance & Consumables	Belts, membranes, spare parts	Planned maintenance schedule from provider
Quality & Certification	IEC testing, peel force, EL inspection	Third-party lab or on-site QC station

- ❑ OPEX per watt improves materially as utilization increases from ramp-up levels toward full annual capacity. Break-even analysis requires site-specific modeling.


# Financial Scenario: 25 MW Production Line

## Revenue Assumptions

- Output: 25 MW/year at full utilization (post ramp-up)
- Module selling price: tracks regional market (indicative ~\$0.20–\$0.30/W)
- Captive domestic demand and potential East African export
- Competitive advantage vs. imported modules: logistics + tariff savings

## Cost & Return Indicators

- Total CAPEX: ~\$3.3M (25 MW semi-automated turnkey)
- CAPEX per watt of installed capacity: ~\$0.13/W
- Key payback driver: OPEX per watt vs. import parity price
- Ramp-up period (9–12 months) reduces year-1 revenue realization
- Blended finance or development bank support can improve IRR

 This is a composite illustration, not a binding financial model. Investors should conduct independent feasibility studies including local utility costs, customs duties, and off-take agreements before committing capital.

# Risks & Financing Considerations

## Market Risk

- Global module prices continue to decline — margin compression risk
- Securing off-take agreements before commissioning is critical
- Domestic demand must be validated through market study

## Operational Risk

- No prior local manufacturing base — skilled workforce development required
- Power reliability: grid instability affects lamination and testing equipment
- Supply chain: all cell inputs currently imported; lead time risk

## Financing Pathways

- Development Finance Institutions (DFIs): IFC, EAIF, AfDB alignment
- Blended finance structures reduce equity risk for first-movers
- Government incentives (tax holidays, import duty waivers) can improve viability

## Regulatory Risk

- Module quality standards: IEC certification compliance is non-negotiable
- Import duty regime may change — policy monitoring required
- Currency risk: CAPEX in USD, revenues potentially in UGX

# Timeline & Implementation Phases



- ☐ Total ramp-up to full capacity: approximately 9-12 months from commissioning. Provider support continues through stabilization phase.

# FAQ Highlights

## **Do we need prior solar manufacturing experience?**

No. A proven turnkey concept includes full on-site operator and management training. Production teams are trained during commissioning — no prior factory experience is required.

## **Why semi-automated for 25 MW scale?**

Semi-automated lines provide the optimal CAPEX/output balance for 20–50 MW annual production. Fully automated lines are justified at >100 MW/year where the higher upfront cost is offset by lower cost-per-module at volume.

## **Where do raw materials (solar cells) come from?**

Solar cells are currently sourced from established global suppliers — primarily Asia. The turnkey provider assists with qualified supplier identification and logistics setup during project planning.

## **What certifications are required to sell modules?**

IEC 61215 and IEC 61730 are the baseline certifications for most markets. The production line design and process methodology are configured to support certification compliance from day one.

# Strategic Outlook

1

## Immediate Opportunity

Uganda's energy access gap and rising solar deployment create first-mover conditions for local module manufacturing at 20–50 MW scale

2

## Viable Entry Point

~\$3.3M CAPEX (25 MW) positions this as an accessible industrial investment — within range of DFI-blended finance structures

3

## Long-Term Platform

A domestic manufacturing base enables scale-up, regional export, and alignment with Uganda's Vision 2040 energy and industrial policy goals

- ✔ **Bottom line:** Local PV module manufacturing in Uganda is technically feasible, economically structured, and strategically timed — provided off-take is secured and financing is appropriately structured.

*This presentation is based on a composite case study scenario. Source: PVKnowHow / J.v.G. Technology GmbH. All figures are indicative and subject to site-specific validation.*

# About the Content Partner

## **J. v. G. technology GmbH** – The DESERT Company

Founded in 1997 in Bavaria, Germany. Family-owned engineering company specializing in turnkey solar module production lines.

More than 90 factory projects delivered worldwide.

On-site team training included – no prior manufacturing experience required.

### Key areas:

Turnkey PV manufacturing lines | DESERT Technology® |  
TÜV-certified module designs | Factory planning to production

[www.jvg-thoma.com](http://www.jvg-thoma.com)

# Contact

J.v.G. Technology GmbH

Möningerberg 1a, 92342 Freystadt, Germany

[info@jvg-thoma.de](mailto:info@jvg-thoma.de) | [www.jvg-thoma.com](http://www.jvg-thoma.com)

Source:

<https://www.pvknowhow.com/countries/uganda/financial-modeling-solar-factory-uganda>

---

*Created with the support of JvGLabs — specialist for AI systems*

*and AI-driven visibility. [www.jvglabs.com](http://www.jvglabs.com)*